

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/619,265	07/14/2003	Francis J. Sciulli	VI/99-021.D	7056
21140 7	7590 09/13/2006	•	EXAMINER	
GREGORY L BRADLEY			WILLIAMS, CATHERINE SERKE	
MEDRAD INC ONE MEDRA	-		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
INDIANOLA,	PA 15051		3763	
			DATE MAILED: 09/13/2000	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The second section of the second section of the second section of the second section s			OP.				
 	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
		SCIULLI ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	10/619,265						
omee Action Cummary	Examiner	Art Unit					
The MAN INC DATE of this communication communication	Catherine S. Williams	3763	14				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	opears on the cover snee	t with the correspondence ad	aress				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLANT OF THE MAILING IN THE WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING IN THE MAI	DATE OF THIS COMMU. .136(a). In no event, however, maded will apply and will expire SIX (6) Interpretation to become	INICATION. y a reply be timely filed MONTHS from the mailing date of this or e ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21.	July 2006.						
· _ · · —	is action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allow		natters, prosecution as to the	e merits is				
,—	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3,7,8,11-18 and 40</u> is/are pending	in the application						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3,7,8,11-18 and 40</u> is/are rejected	·						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	- , ,	•	FR 1.121(d).				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	·						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •							
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	A\	ow Summony (DTO 443)	•				
2) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		ew Summary (PTO-413) No(s)/Mail Date					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08	-,	of Informal Patent Application (PTC	O-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) L Other:	·					

Art Unit: 3763

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 7/21/06 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-3,7-8,11-18 and 40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ford et al (5,681,285). Ford discloses a control unit (80) a syringe (20), plunger (34), an interface module (10), syringe interface (clamping devices, see 8:10+), and drive member (see 8:19-20). See figures 1 and 5. The device also includes a motor (see 8:50+), a power source (84), one or more devices for controlling the drive member (keyboard 16 and internal memory with drug library) and a communication line (82). As shown in figure 5, the syringe interface module is adapted to be lain next to a patient or be placed in any suitable position since it is not fixed and physically separate (other than the communication line) to any other structures. The

Art Unit: 3763

control unit includes a housing with circuitry (microprocessor among other circuitry). The control unit has a switch (on/off switch). The device also includes a battery. See 10:23+.

Regarding the new claim language, the control unit (80) has a housing (see figure 5) with control circuitry (microprocessor). The interface module has a motor (see 8:50+). The control circuitry os in communicative connection via line 82 with the motor. The control circuitry is capable of supplying a control signal to the motor during fluid injection via line 82.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 7/21/06 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant's argues the computer (80) in the Ford reference cannot read on a control unit comprising one or more devices for controlling a fluid injection. Additionally, applicant states that the computer (80) is used for loading a drug library and supplemental configuration data into the unit (10). However, the library and configuration data that the computer loads into the injection unit (10) is precisely what makes the computer a control unit. The computer (80) includes a memory, microprocessor, connector cable to the injector, and mouse. All these "devices" are used to download the drug and configuration data to the injector. The data determines the particulars of the fluid injection, e.g. rate. Therefore, the devices (memory, microprocessor, connector cable and mouse) control the fluid injection by transferring injection data to the unit (10) which is then used to actually perform the injection.

Furthermore, applicant is arguing that functional limitations of the claims. While the prior art device may not teach the function as claimed the prior art device is capable of

Art Unit: 3763

performing the claimed function. Therefore, the prior art device meets the structural requirements of the claim.

Conclusion

All claims are drawn to the same invention claimed in the application prior to the entry of the submission under 37 CFR 1.114 and could have been finally rejected on the grounds and art of record in the next Office action if they had been entered in the application prior to entry under 37 CFR 1.114. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL** even though it is a first action after the filing of a request for continued examination and the submission under 37 CFR 1.114. See MPEP § 706.07(b). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Art Unit: 3763

Page 5

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Catherine S. Williams whose telephone number is 571-272-4970.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nicholas D. Lucchesi can be reached on 571-272-4977. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Cather S. Willi

Catherine S. Williams September 7, 2006

CATHERINE S. WILLIAMS PRIMARY EXAMINER

CATHER IS SET LIAMS